

# Regulatory Investment Test for Distribution (RIT-D)

# Addressing Reliability Requirements in the Kingaroy Network Area

**Notice of No Non-Network Options** 

15 March 2021





## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

# About Ergon Energy

Ergon Energy Corporation Limited (Ergon Energy) is part of Energy Queensland and manages an electricity distribution network which supplies electricity to more than 765,000 customers. Our vast operating area covers over one million square kilometres (around 97% of the state of Queensland) from the expanding coastal and rural population centres to the remote communities of outback Queensland and the Torres Strait.

Our electricity network consists of approximately 160,000 kilometres of powerlines and one million power poles, along with associated infrastructure such as major substations and power transformers.

We also own and operate 33 stand-alone power stations that provide supply to isolated communities across Queensland which are not connected to the main electricity grid.

## **Identified Need**

Kingaroy 66/11kV Substation (KING) is located on the eastern edge of Kingaroy Town. The 11kV distribution network supplies Kingaroy and extends over 50km to supply nearby towns. KING is an important sub-transmission node in the South Burnett area, sourcing 66kV supply from Tarong Power Station which is then distributed via outgoing 66kV feeders to zone substations in the region. The 11kV distribution network supplies approximately 8,200 customers in Kingaroy town and the surrounding region, including a number of major employers such as Swickers Bacon Factory and the Kingaroy Hospital.

Kingaroy substation was established in the 1960s, according to the applicable design and construction standards of the time. It has an outdoor 66kV switchyard, two 25MVA 66/11kV power transformers and a control building with an indoor oil filled 11kV switchboard.

A substation condition assessment of Kingaroy Substation was completed and has identified some primary and secondary plant and equipment that are recommended for retirement based on Condition Based Risk Management (CBRM) analysis.

The assessment identified that the following assets are at the end of their serviceable life:

- All 11kV CBs due to condition;
- Protection relays for the 11kV bus scheme due to age and potential mal-operation;
- 66kV VT A1197 (3 phases) due to being problematic;

The state of these primary and secondary system assets poses safety risks to staff working within the switchyard and control room, environmental risks associated with failure of oil filled components, and customer reliability risks to the customers supplied from Kingaroy Substation.



# Approach

The National Electricity Rules (NER) require that, subject to certain exclusion criteria, network business investments for meeting service standards for a distribution business are subject to a Regulatory Investment Test for Distribution (RIT-D). Ergon Energy has determined that network investment is essential in this case for it to continue to provide electricity to the consumers in the Kingaroy supply area in a reliable, safe and cost-effective manner. Accordingly, this investment is subject to a RIT-D. An internal assessment has been conducted and it has been determined that there is not a non-network option that is potentially credible, or that forms a significant part of a potential credible option that will meet the identified need or form a significant part of the solution. This Notice has hence been prepared by Ergon Energy in accordance with the requirements of clause 5.17.4(d) of the NER.



# CONTENTS

About Ergon Energy       2         Identified Need       2         Approach       3         1.       Background       6         1.1.       Geographic Region       6         1.2.       Existing Supply System       7         1.3.       Load Profiles / Forecasts       5         1.3.1.       Full Annual Load Profile       5         1.3.2.       Load Duration Curve       10         1.3.3.       Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4.       Base Case Load Forecast       12         1.3.5.       High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.1.       Identified Need       13         2.1.       Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2.       11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15 </th <th>Executiv</th> <th>/e Su</th> <th>mmary</th> <th></th> <th>2</th>	Executiv	/e Su	mmary		2	
Identified Need       2         Approach       3         1.       Background       6         1.1.       Geographic Region       6         1.2.       Existing Supply System       7         1.3.       Load Profiles / Forecasts       5         1.3.1.       Full Annual Load Profile       5         1.3.2.       Load Duration Curve       10         1.3.3.       Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4.       Base Case Load Forecast       11         1.3.5.       High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.1.       Identified Need       13         2.1.       Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2.       11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2. Network Options Identified       16         3.2. Network Options Identified       17		Abou	it Ergon	Energy	2	
Approach		Identified Need				
1.       Background       6         1.1.       Geographic Region       6         1.2.       Existing Supply System       7         1.3.       Load Profiles / Forecasts       5         1.3.       Load Profiles / Forecasts       5         1.3.1.       Full Annual Load Profile       5         1.3.2.       Load Duration Curve       10         1.3.3.       Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4.       Base Case Load Forecast       11         1.3.5.       High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.1       Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2.       11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.4.       Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17 <t< td=""><td></td><td colspan="4">Approach</td></t<>		Approach				
1.1. Geographic Region	1.	Back	ground	I	6	
1.2. Existing Supply System       7         1.3. Load Profiles / Forecasts       5         1.3.1. Full Annual Load Profile       5         1.3.2. Load Duration Curve       10         1.3.3. Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4. Base Case Load Forecast       11         1.3.5. High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18		1.1.	6			
1.3. Load Profiles / Forecasts       5         1.3.1. Full Annual Load Profile       5         1.3.2. Load Duration Curve       10         1.3.3. Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4. Base Case Load Forecast       11         1.3.5. High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.1.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.2. Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.1.1. Network Load Control       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18		1.2.	1.2. Existing Supply System			
1.3.1. Full Annual Load Profile       5         1.3.2. Load Duration Curve       10         1.3.3. Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4. Base Case Load Forecast       11         1.3.5. High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2. Identified Need       13         2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.1.1. Network Load Control       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       16		1.3. Load Profiles / Forecasts				
1.3.2.       Load Duration Curve       10         1.3.3.       Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4.       Base Case Load Forecast       11         1.3.5.       High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.       Identified Need       13         2.1.       Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1.       Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2.       11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1.       Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1.       Options Identified       15         3.2.1.       Options Identified       15         3.2.1.       Options Identified       15         3.2.1.       Options Identified       16         3.3.       Preferred Network Option       16         3.3.       Preferred Network Solutions       17         4.1.       Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.1.       Demand Response       17         4.2.1.       Customer Call Off Load			1.3.1.	Full Annual Load Profile	9	
1.3.3. Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)       10         1.3.4. Base Case Load Forecast       11         1.3.5. High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2. Identified Need       13         2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3. Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         3.3. Preferred Network Option       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.1.1. Network Load Control       17         4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       16			1.3.2.	Load Duration Curve	10	
1.3.4. Base Case Load Forecast.       11         1.3.5. High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.       Identified Need       13         2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2. Network Options Identified       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       16			1.3.3.	Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)	10	
1.3.5. High Growth Load Forecast       12         1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2. Identified Need       13         2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3. Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2. Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       16         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4. Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2. L Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18			1.3.4.	Base Case Load Forecast	11	
1.3.6.       Low Growth Load Forecast       12         2.       Identified Need       13         2.1.       Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.       Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.       Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.1.       Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2.       11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1.       Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2.       Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1.       Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3.       Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1.       Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.2.       Demand Response       17         4.2.1.       Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18			1.3.5.	High Growth Load Forecast	12	
<ul> <li>Identified Need</li></ul>			1.3.6.	Low Growth Load Forecast	12	
2.1. Description of the Identified Need       13         2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2. Network Options Identified       15         3.2. Network Options Identified       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.1.1. Network Load Control       17         4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18	2.	Identified Need				
2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets       13         2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3. Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2. Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4. Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18		2.1. C	Descripti	ion of the Identified Need		
2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation       14         3.       Internal Options Considered       15         3.1. Non-Network Options Identified       15         3.2. Network Options Identified       15         3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT       15         3.3. Preferred Network Option       16         4.       Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions       17         4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2. L Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18		2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets				
<ul> <li>Internal Options Considered</li></ul>		2.1.2. 11kV Feeder Utilisation				
<ul> <li>3.1. Non-Network Options Identified</li></ul>	3.	Internal Options Considered1				
<ul> <li>3.2. Network Options Identified</li></ul>		3.1. Non-Network Options Identified				
<ul> <li>3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT</li></ul>		3.2. Network Options Identified				
<ul> <li>3.3. Preferred Network Option</li></ul>		3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT1				
<ul> <li>Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions</li></ul>		3.3. Preferred Network Option16				
<ul> <li>4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)</li></ul>	4.	Asssessment of Non-Network Solutions17				
4.1.1. Network Load Control		4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)17				
4.2. Demand Response       17         4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)       18		4.1.1. Network Load Control1				
4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)18		4.2. Demand Response1				
4.2.2. Customer Embedded Generation (CEG)18		4.2.2. Customer Embedded Generation (CEG)18				
4.2.3. Large-Scale Customer Generation (LSG)18		4.2.3. Large-Scale Customer Generation (LSG)18				
4.2.4. Customer Solar Power Systems18						



5.	Conclusion and Next Steps	19
Appendi	x A – The Rit-D Process	20



## 1. BACKGROUND

## 1.1. Geographic Region

Kingaroy 66/11kV Substation (KING) is located on the eastern edge of Kingaroy Town. The 11kV distribution network supplies Kingaroy and extends over 50km to supply nearby towns. KING is an important sub-transmission node in the South Burnett area, sourcing 66kV supply from Tarong Power Station which is then distributed via outgoing 66kV feeders to zone substations in the region. The 11kV distribution network supplies approximately 8,200 customers in Kingaroy town and the surrounding region, including a number of major employers such as Swickers Bacon Factory and the Kingaroy Hospital.

The geographical location of Ergon Energy's sub-transmission network and substations in the area is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Existing network arrangement (geographic view)



## 1.2. Existing Supply System

Kingaroy 66/11kV Substation (KING) is located on the eastern edge of Kingaroy Town. The 11kV distribution network supplies Kingaroy and extends over 50km to supply nearby towns. KING is an important sub-transmission node in the South Burnett area, sourcing 66kV supply from Tarong Power Station which is then distributed via outgoing 66kV feeders to zone substations in the region.

There is an outgoing radial 66kV feeder that extends to Boondooma Dam 70km to the north west. The feeder supplies several pumping stations (via zone substations) that are a critical component of the Boyne River and Tarong Scheme which provides water for irrigation and for cooling purposes at Tarong Power Station. A 66kV feeder also extends south to supply the townships of Nanango and Yarraman, tying into nearby 66kV feeders at several locations. An additional dedicated feeder is under construction to connect the future 40MW Kingaroy Solar Farm.

Kingaroy substation was established in the 1960s, according to the applicable design and construction standards of the time. It has an outdoor 66kV switchyard, two 25MVA 66/11kV power transformers and a control building with an indoor oil filled 11kV switchboard. Beginning in the early 2000s, a number of asset replacement and upgrade projects have progressively replaced most of the 66kV plant, power transformers, and secondary systems. The indoor 11kV switchboard and control building are the major components that remain as originally constructed in 1968.

The existing distribution network in Kingaroy consists of six 11kV feeders, three feeders primarily supply the town area and three are longer rural feeders. The KING 11kV network is tied to Murgon 66/11kV substation (MURG) 30kms to the north, Ellwood's Rd 66/11kV substation (ELRO) 18kms to the west, and Nanango 66/11kV substation (NANA) 20kms to the south, although these ties have limited transfer capability.

A schematic view of the existing sub-transmission network arrangement is shown in Figure 2 and the geographic view of Kingaroy Substation is illustrated in Figure 3.





Figure 2: Existing network arrangement (schematic view)





Figure 3: Kingaroy Substation (geographic view)

## 1.3. Load Profiles / Forecasts

The load at Kingaroy Substation comprises a mix of residential and commercial/industrial customers. The load is summer peaking, and historically the annual peak loads have been predominantly driven by commercial and industrial customers. An increase in PV generation appears to be shifting this peak load into the evening and this is expected to continue in the coming years.

#### 1.3.1. Full Annual Load Profile

The full annual load profile for Kingaroy Substation over the 2019/20 financial year is shown in Figure 4. It can be noted that the peak load occurs during summer.





Figure 4: Substation actual annual load profile

## 1.3.2. Load Duration Curve

The load duration curve for Kingaroy Substation over the 2019/20 financial year is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Substation load duration curve

## 1.3.3. Average Peak Weekday Load Profile (Summer)

The daily load profile for an average peak weekday during summer is illustrated below in Figure 6. It can be noted that the summer peak loads at Kingaroy Substation are historically experienced in the late afternoon.





Figure 6: Substation average peak weekday load profile (summer)

#### 1.3.4. Base Case Load Forecast

The 10 PoE and 50 PoE load forecasts for the base case load growth scenario are illustrated in Figure 7. The historical peak load for the past six years has also been included in the graph.

It can be noted that the historical annual peak loads have been rising over the past five years. This is due to an increase in large customer block loads. It can also be noted that the peak load is forecast to increase slightly over the next 10 years under the base case scenario.



Figure 7: Substation base case load forecast



#### 1.3.5. High Growth Load Forecast

The 10 PoE and 50 PoE load forecasts for the high load growth scenario are illustrated in Figure 8. With the high growth scenario, the peak load is forecast to increase over the next 10 years.



Figure 8: Substation high growth load forecast

#### 1.3.6. Low Growth Load Forecast

The 10 PoE and 50 PoE load forecasts for the low load growth scenario are illustrated in Figure 9. With the low growth scenario, the peak load is forecast to remain relatively steady over the next 10 years.



Figure 9: Substation low growth load forecast



## 2. IDENTIFIED NEED

## 2.1. Description of the Identified Need

#### 2.1.1. Aged and Poor Condition Assets

A recent condition assessment has highlighted that several critical assets are at end of life and are in poor condition. The condition of these assets presents a significant safety, environmental and reliability risk.

Based on a Condition Based Risk Management (CBRM) analysis of the effect of current condition and ageing on the expected life of the asset, the following have been deemed to reach retirement age as follows:

- All 11kV CBs due to condition;
- Protection relays for the 11kV bus scheme due to age and potential mal operation;
- 66kV VT A1197 (3 phases) due to being problematic;

The deterioration of these primary and secondary system assets poses significant safety risks to staff working within the switchyard and in the control room. It also poses a safety risk the general public, through the increased likelihood of protection relay mal-operation and catastrophic failure of the 66kV voltage transformer. There is also a risk of environmental harm due to loss of oil from the voltage transformer, which would require clean up and rectification. Additionally, the poor condition of these assets significantly increases the likelihood of outages, resulting in a reduction in the level of reliability experienced by the customers supplied from Kingaroy Substation.



#### 2.1.2. Distribution Feeder Utilisation

The actual peak feeder loads for summer 2020/21 are shown in Figure 10. As seen below, the existing peak loads on North, Coolabunia, and West are exceeding 75% target maximum utilisation. The remaining feeders are also nearing 75% utilisation.

The existing switchboard cannot accommodate additional feeders and cannot be expanded within the existing control building due to space considerations. The feeder ratings are largely limited by underground exit cables which are already of the maximum size that can be terminated into the existing cable boxes.



Figure 10: 11kV feeder loads



## 3. INTERNAL OPTIONS CONSIDERED

#### 3.1. Non-Network Options Identified

Ergon Energy has not identified any viable non-network solutions internally that will provide a complete or a hybrid (combined network and non-network) solution to provide the magnitude of network support required in the Kingaroy area to address the identified need.

#### 3.2. Network Options Identified

Ergon Energy has identified one credible network option that will address the identified need.

#### 3.2.1. Option A: Replace 11kV switchboard and 66kV VT

This option involves replacing the 11kV switchboard, 11kV bus relays, and the 66kV VT A1197 in order to address the identified need. The switchboard will be installed in a new building, along with the associated secondary systems and relays that are required.

A schematic diagram of the proposed network arrangement for Option A is shown in Figure 11.



#### Figure 11: Option A proposed network arrangement (schematic view)



## 3.3. Preferred Network Option

Ergon Energy's preferred internal network option is Option A, to replace the 11kV switchboard, and 11kV protection relays in a new building. The 66kV VT A1197 is to be replaced in-situ.

Upon completion of these works, the asset safety and reliability risks at Kingaroy Substation will be addressed. The preferred option will provide the greatest reliability benefit for customers, whilst also reducing expenditure on obsolete and non-compliant assets while ensuring more efficient use of design and construction resources.

The estimated capital cost of this option inclusive of interest, and overheads is \$5.86 million. Annual operating and maintenance costs are anticipated to be 0.5% of the capital cost. The estimated project delivery timeframe has design commencing in early 2022 and construction completed by mid-2024.



## 4. ASSSESSMENT OF NON-NETWORK SOLUTIONS

Ergon Energy's Demand & Energy Management (DEM) team has assessed the potential non-network alternative (NNA) options required to defer the network option and determine if there is a viable demand management (DM) option to replace or reduce the need for the network options proposed.

Credible options must be technically and commercially viable and must be able to be implemented in sufficient time to satisfy the identified risk to the public and/or the network due to the identified constraints.

## 4.1. Demand Management (Demand Reduction)

The DEM team has completed a review of the Kingaroy customer base and considered a number of demand management technologies. Asset safety and performance risks are the key project drivers (i.e. the need) at Kingaroy. It has been determined that most demand management options will not be viable propositions and have been explored in the following sections.

#### 4.1.1. Network Load Control

The commercial, industrial, and residential customers appear to drive the daily peak demand which generally occurs between 4:00pm and 6:00pm. There are 4922 customers on tariff T31 and T33 hot water load control (LC). An estimated maximum demand reduction value of 2953kVA<sup>1</sup> is available.

Kingaroy Substation LC signals are controlled from the AFLC installed on the 66kV bus. The tariff 33 and 31 hot water LC channels are dynamic (that is, it responds to exceedance settings not on a timetable) and the current control strategy only calls LC when the load at Kingaroy Substation exceeds 36MW. This strategy does not directly address demand peaks experienced on the individual feeders at Kingaroy. Therefore, network load control would not sufficiently address the identified need.

## 4.2. Demand Response

Four methods utilising demand response technology for deferring network investment are: Call Off Load (COL), Customer Embedded Generation (CEG), Large Scale Customer Generation (LSG) and customer solar power systems.

<sup>1</sup> Hot water diversified demand saving estimated at 0.6kVA per system



#### 4.2.1. Customer Call Off Load (COL)

COL is an effective technique for deferring network investment where the need is for a short time period. However, in this instance, the need is required on a long-term permanent basis. There are a small number of large customers in the catchment area but the \$/kVA funding available for demand reduction is low therefore customer call off load has been assessed as not a viable proposition as it will not address the identified need, nor benefit the community.

#### 4.2.2. Customer Embedded Generation (CEG)

CEG is an effective technique for deferring network investment where the need is for a short time period. The primary driver for investment in this instance is asset safety and performance. A short-term deferral of network investment by using CEG is not a technically or financially feasible option (due to the number of contracts required to be negotiated and managed).

This option has been assessed as technically not viable as it will not address the identified network requirement.

#### 4.2.3. Large-Scale Customer Generation (LSG)

LSG sites such as renewable energy generation, solar or wind farms of multiple MW's capacity constitute an opportunity to support substation investment by reducing demand on, and potentially providing reactive power support for substation assets.

This option has been assessed as technically not viable as it will not address the identified network requirement.

#### 4.2.4. Customer Solar Power Systems

A total of 1917 customers have solar photo voltaic (PV) systems for a connected inverter capacity of 8,615kVA.

The daily peak demand is driven by a combination of commercial, industrial, and residential customer demand and the peak generally occurs between 4:00pm and 6:00pm. As such customer solar generation has minimal coincidence with the peak load period.

Business customers with large solar arrays are deemed to present a significant opportunity for targeted load control or load curtailment if coupled with a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS). Contracting such customers is attractive as they represent a larger load across a fewer customers and therefore are cheaper and easier to engage and contract.

However, only a small percentage of customers in this supply area have solar PV systems and possibly none have a BESS. PV systems with BESS present a future portfolio opportunity for potential demand response but currently this supply area has a very limited solar/BESS. Solar customers without a BESS will not meet the technical needs of the demand reduction as their solar contribution may not be available when the network un-met need is required.



# 5. CONCLUSION AND NEXT STEPS

The internal investigations undertaken on the feasibility of the non-network solutions revealed that it is unlikely to find a complete non-network solution or a hybrid (combined network and non-network) solution to provide the magnitude of network support required in the Kingaroy area to address the identified need.

The preferred network option is Option A - to replace the assets in poor condition. This Notice of No Non-Network Options is therefore published in accordance with rule 5.17.4(d) of the National Electricity Rules. As the next step in the RIT-D process, Ergon Energy will now proceed to publish a Final Project Assessment Report.



## **APPENDIX A – THE RIT-D PROCESS**



Source: AEMC, Rule determination: National Electricity Amendment (Replacement expenditure planning arrangements) Rule 2017, July 2017, p. 64.